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- Unknown transitions + rewards
- Can sample arbitrary (state, action)
- Q: Sample complexity of finding good policies?

Physics Simulators
[Zhang,Zhang,Maguluri,2021] [Chen,Maguluri,Shakkottai,Shanmugam,2020]
[Agarwal,Kakade,Yang,2020] [Srikant,Ying,2019]

## Second Setting

## Generative Model

# Q Learning <br> TD Learning 

- Unknown transitions + rewards
- Can sample arbitrary (state, action)
- Q: Sample complexity of finding good policies?
[Zhang,Zhang,Maguluri,2021] [Chen,Maguluri,Shakkottai,Shanmugam,2020]
[Agarwal,Kakade,Yang,2020] [Srikant,Ying,2019]


## Do we need another setting?

## Some problems have "restricted" interaction with environment

## Fully Known Model

- Known transitions + rewards
- Q: Computational complexity of finding good policies?


## Generative Model

- Can sample arbitrary (state, action)
- Q: Sample complexity of finding good policies?


# Optimizing drug dosages 



Decide dosage for next three days

[Bastani et al,2022] [Padmanabhan,Meskin,Haddad,2017][Kallus,Uehara,2020]
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Decide dosage for next three days


## Some problems have "restricted" interaction with environment

## Fully Known Model

- Fully understand interaction of medication and patient covariates


## Generative Model

- Able to simulate what "would" happen for any given dosage sequence
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## Third Setting (this talk)

## Online Model

- Can only sample trajectories under some chosen policy


## 잉 <br> H1กH1H

- Q: Regret incurred over time compared to optimal policy
- "Most complex": constrained

Memory management exploration, correlated estimates,

A MDP is defined by: $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, r, T, s_{0}, H\right\}$
$T_{h}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{S})$
H

State space
Action space Reward

Transitions
Time horizon

$$
\pi_{h}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{A})
$$

Policy

A MDP is defined by: $\mathcal{M}=\left\{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, r, T, s_{0}, H\right\}$
$\mathcal{S}$
$\mathcal{A}$
$r_{h}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0,1]$
$T_{h}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{S})$
H

State space
Action space Reward

Transitions
Time horizon
$\pi_{h}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{A})$
Policy

## Bellman Equations

The Bellman Equations note that:

$$
V_{h}^{\pi}(s)=\mathbb{E}_{A \sim \pi_{h}(s)}\left[r_{h}(s, A)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim T_{h}(\cdot \mid s, A)}\left[V_{h+1}^{\pi}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]\right]
$$

$$
Q_{h}^{\pi}(s, a)=r_{h}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim T_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1}^{\pi}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$
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## Main Question

## Online Model

- Can only sample trajectories under some chosen policy
- Q: Regret incurred over time compared to optimal policy


Unknown transition + reward
Over sequence of episodes:

- Pick current policy $\pi^{k}$
- Execute over H steps (episode)
- Collect dataset and update policy $\left\{\left(S_{1}^{k}, A_{1}^{k}, R_{1}^{k}\right), \ldots,\left(S_{H}^{k}, A_{H}^{k}, R_{H}^{k}\right)\right\}$

Horizon $\mathrm{H}=$ Number of dosage decisions Episodes K = Number of homogenous patients

Drug dosage model

## Main Question

## Online Model

- Can only sample trajectories under some chosen policy
- Q: Regret incurred over time compared to optimal policy

Unknown transition + reward
Over sequence of episodes:

- Pick current policy $\pi^{k}$
- Execute over H steps (episode)
- Collect dataset and update policy $\left\{\left(S_{1}^{k}, A_{1}^{k}, R_{1}^{k}\right), \ldots,\left(S_{H}^{k}, A_{H}^{k}, R_{H}^{k}\right)\right\}$

Goal: Minimize regret:

$$
\operatorname{RegREt}(K)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{1}^{*}\left(s_{0}\right)-V_{1}^{\pi^{k}}\left(s_{0}\right)
$$

Goal: Minimize regret:

$$
\operatorname{ReGRET}(K)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{1}^{*}\left(s_{0}\right)-V_{1}^{\pi^{k}}\left(s_{0}\right)
$$

Theorem: If regret is sublinear in K , can obtain PAC-style sample complexity bound for learning a good policy:

$$
\operatorname{REGRET}(K) \leq K^{1-\alpha}
$$

$\operatorname{Number~} \operatorname{SAMPLES}(\epsilon) \leq \epsilon^{-1 / \alpha}$
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Two policies differ on chosen action, how much to "compensate"?

$$
Q_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}, \pi^{\operatorname{Ref}}\left(s_{h}\right)\right)-V_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}\right)
$$



Play $\pi^{\text {Ref }}$ now, then $\pi^{A l g}$

## Policy Comparison

Compare two policies $\pi^{A l g}, \pi^{R e f}$


Two policies differ on chosen action, how much to "compensate"?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}, \pi^{\text {Ref }}\left(s_{h}\right)\right)-V_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}\right) \\
& =A_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}, \pi^{\operatorname{Ref}}\left(s_{h}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

"Advantage" function
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## Online Model

- Can only sample trajectories under some chosen policy
- Q: Regret incurred over time compared to optimal policy

Two Approaches

Value Based

Policy Based

BASE STOCK POLICIES


Inventory Control

Goal: Find the best "base-stock" policy:

$$
\pi_{S}\left(I_{t}\right)= \begin{cases}I_{t}-S & I_{t} \leq S \\ 0 & I_{t} \geq S\end{cases}
$$

$\pi_{S}\left(I_{t}\right)$
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Use existing stochastic optimization algorithms

## Policy Based

Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

## Use existing stochastic optimization algorithms

- Zero-Order (Gradient-Free) [Berahas,Byrd,Nocedal,2019] [Lei,Chen,Li,Zheng,2022] [Qian,Yu,2021]
- First-Order (Gradient-Based)
[Bhandari,Russo,2019]
- Second-Order (Hessian-Based)


## Policy Based

Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

Primitive:
How can we compare value of two policies?

## Policy Comparison

Compare two policies $\pi^{A l g}, \pi^{R e f}$


Two policies differ on chosen action, how much to "compensate"?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}, \pi^{\operatorname{Ref}}\left(s_{h}\right)\right)-V_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h}\right) \\
& =A_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}\left(s_{h} \cdot \pi^{\operatorname{Ref}}\left(s_{h}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Sum over trajectories from $\pi^{\text {Ref }}$

Policy Difference
Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

Policy Difference Lemma:

$$
V^{\pi^{R e f}}-V^{\pi^{A l g}}=\sum_{h=1}^{H} \mathbb{E}_{(S, A) \sim \operatorname{Pr}_{h}^{\pi^{R e f}}}\left[A_{h}^{\pi^{A l g}}(S, A)\right]
$$

Can evaluate using Monte-Carlo roll outs under current policy
Used to guarantee one-step improvement

## Policy Based

Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

## Use existing stochastic optimization algorithms

- Zero-Order (Gradient-Free) [Berahas,Byrd,Nocedal,2019] [Lei,Chen,Li,Zheng,2022] [Qian,Yu,2021]
- First-Order (Gradient-Based)
[Bhandari,Russo,2019]
- Second-Order (Hessian-Based)

Policy Gradient
Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

## What even is $\nabla J(\theta)$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Policy Gradient Theorem: } \\
& \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)=\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}\left[\nabla_{\theta} \log \pi_{\theta}(a \mid s) A^{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Can evaluate using Monte-Carlo roll outs under current policy

Restricted Policies
Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

Use prior domain knowledge to find restricted class of policies
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Use prior domain knowledge to find restricted class of policies


## Exploit structured properties of $J(\theta)$ :

- Strongly convex
- Evaluate exactly over traces

Inventory Control
"Base Stock" policies are
provably near-optimal

Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

Characterizes conditions when objective has no local maxima

Assumption 1: Differentiability / continuity of objective

Assumption 2: Closure of policy space under policy-iteration steps

Goal: Maximize $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} V^{\pi_{\theta}}\left(s_{0}\right)=\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} J(\theta)$

Characterizes conditions when objective has no local maxima

Assumption 1: Differentiability / continuity of objective

Assumption 2: Closure of policy space under policy-iteration steps

Stochastic
Queueing Network

Linear
Quadratic
Regulator

# Given a MDP, how do we find the optimal policy? 

## Online Model

- Can only sample trajectories under some chosen policy
- Q: Regret incurred over time compared to optimal policy


## Two Approaches

Value Based<br>Policy Based

## Value Based

The Bellman Optimality Equations note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
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Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) & =r_{h}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim T_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1}^{*}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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Play greedy w.r.t. $Q^{*}$

- Time complexity / storage scales $H S^{2} A$


## Model-Free

- Estimate $Q^{*}$ directly
- Play greedy w.r.t. $Q^{*}$
- Better time complexity / storage (only HSA)
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True value
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- Optimistic estimate

True value

[Simchowitz,Jamieson,2019]

## Optimism Principle

_— Optimistic estimate
True value


Estimates converge to true value for
optimal actions on sample paths
[Simchowitz,Jamieson,2019]

1. Optimistic Estimates

$$
\bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) \geq Q_{h}^{*}(s, a)
$$

2. Monotone non-increasing, decrease "fast enough"

$$
\bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)-Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

3. Play greedy

$$
\pi_{h}^{k}(s)=\operatorname{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)
$$

## Value Based

The Bellman Optimality Equations note that:
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## Model Based

Estimate reward and transition via empirical: $\bar{r}_{h} \quad \bar{T}_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)$

## Plug estimates into Bellman Optimality Equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{V}_{h}(s) & =\max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) \\
\bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) & =\bar{r}_{h}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim \bar{T}_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[\bar{V}_{h+1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]+\lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \\
\pi_{h}(s) & =\underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model Based

## Estimated value iteration

$$
\bar{V}_{h}(s)=\max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)
$$

$$
\bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)=\bar{r}_{h}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim \bar{T}_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[\bar{V}_{h+1}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]+\lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

$$
\pi_{h}(s)=\underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)
$$

## True value iteration

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{h}^{*}(s) & =\max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) \\
Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) & =r_{h}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim T_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1}^{*}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
\pi_{h}^{*}(s) & =\underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} Q_{h}^{*}(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Empirical value iteration with reward and transition estimates

1. Optimistic Estimates

$$
\bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) \geq Q_{h}^{*}(s, a)
$$

2. Monotone non-increasing, decrease "fast enough"

$$
\bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)-Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}
$$

3. Play greedy

$$
\pi_{h}^{k}(s)=\operatorname{argmax}_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)
$$

If horizon $\mathrm{H}=1$, estimates reduce:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}_{1}(s, a) & =\bar{r}_{1}(s, a)+\lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \\
\pi_{1}(s) & =\underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \bar{Q}_{1}(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

If horizon $\mathrm{H}=1$, estimates reduce:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}_{1}(s, a) & =\bar{r}_{1}(s, a)+\lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \\
\pi_{1}(s) & =\operatorname{argmax} \bar{Q}_{1}(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reduces to UCB algorithm in H = 1 setting

## Model Based

Theorem: In a H-step MDP we have that:

## $\operatorname{REGRET}(K) \leq H^{3 / 2} \sqrt{S A K}$

- Optimal dependence on K
- Suboptimal time + space complexity
- Dependence on H still current research
[Jaksch,Ortner,Auer,2010]
[Azar,Osband,Munos,2017] [Agrawal,Jia,2017]


Regret guarantees are worst case, don't capture specific problem structure

In practice: exploration is done via $\epsilon$ exploration or bonus terms are tuned for performance

## Value Based

## The Bellman Optimality Equations note that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{h}^{*}(s) & =\max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) \\
Q_{h}^{*}(s, a) & =r_{h}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{S^{\prime} \sim T_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1}^{*}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Model-Based

- Estimate $r$ and $T$
- Compute Q*

Play greedy w.r.t. $Q^{*}$

- Time complexity / storage scales $H S^{2} A$


## Model-Free

- Estimate $Q^{*}$ directly
- Play greedy w.r.t. $Q^{*}$
- Better time complexity / storage (only HSA)


## Model Free

Follows update procedure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{V}_{h}(s)= & \max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) \\
\bar{Q}_{h}\left(S_{h}, A_{h}\right)= & \left(1-\alpha_{t}\right) \bar{Q}_{h}\left(S_{h}^{k}, A_{h}^{k}\right)+\alpha_{t}\left(R+\bar{V}_{h+1}\left(S_{h+1}\right)+\lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\
\pi_{h}(s)= & \underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) \\
& \quad \text { Empirical fixed point iteration with }
\end{aligned}
$$

[Jin,Allen-Zhu,Bubeck,Jordan,2018]

## Model Free

Follows update procedure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{V}_{h}(s) & =\max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a) \\
\bar{Q}_{h}\left(S_{h}, A_{h}\right) & =\left(1-\alpha_{t}\right) \bar{Q}_{h}\left(S_{h}^{k}, A_{h}^{k}\right)+\alpha_{t}\left(R+\bar{V}_{h+1}\left(S_{h+1}\right)+\lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\right) \\
\pi_{h}(s) & =\underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \bar{Q}_{h}(s, a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Learning rate favors later updates

$$
\alpha_{t}=\frac{H+1}{H+t}
$$

## Model Free

Informal Theorem: In a H-step MDP we have that:

## $\operatorname{REGRET}(K) \leq H^{5 / 2} \sqrt{S A K}$

- Strong relation to theory of Stochastic Approximation (Robbins Munro)
- Optimal dependence on K
- Better time + space complexity than model-based algorithms
- Dependence on H still current research

Some folklore comparisons:
. Performance Model Based > Model Free

- Model Based more compute, easier implementation
- Open Question: Tradeoff minimax regret and storage/compute

Regret guarantees are worst case, don't capture specific problem structure

> Logarithmic Regret: $\quad[$ Simchowitz, Jamieson,2019] [He,Zhou,Gu, 2020] $[$ Yang, Yang, Du,2021]

## "Variance" Dependence:

[Zanette,Brunskill,2019]

> [Sam,Cheng,Yu,2022] [Osband,Roy,2014]
"Dimension" Dependence: [Jiang,Krishnamurthy,Agarwal,Langford,Schapire,2017] [Sun,Jiang,Krishnamurthy,Agarwal,Langford,2018]

## Saw algorithms designed with value and policy iteration for tabular (discrete) MDPs.

However, even if problem is tabular:

MemoryError: Unable to allocate 31.9 GiB for an array with shape (3094, 720, 1280, 3) and data type float32

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=3 \\
& S=3094^{*} 720 \\
& A=1280
\end{aligned}
$$

To design algorithms that scale...

Function Approximation

Modelling Assumptions

# Online Reinforcement Learning and Regret 
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