NETWORKING THEORY: A PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE

Jean Walrand 9/7/2018

HUMM...

- I HAVE 1 MINUTE AND 15 SECONDS PER YEAR ...
- There is nothing I can say you don't know ...
- This is an impossible talk ...
- TOO LATE TO CALL IN SICK?
- DAMN THE ORGANIZERS WHO INSISTED THAT I GIVE THIS TALK!
- | THOUGHT | HAD RETIRED

"NETWORKING THEORY IS USELESS"

MR. -----, CISCO CERTIFIED ENGINEER, 7/1995

"WE HAVE ENOUGH THEORY FOR THE NEXT 15 YEARS"

PROF. -----, 9/1987

"THEORY?: YOU CHANGE THE ASSUMPTIONS, YOU GET NEW CONCLUSIONS!"

Dr. ----, 11/2012

"TCP: SLOW DOWN WHEN CONGESTED, THAT'S ALL THERE IS TO IT!"

DR. ---, ---- 10/2011

"NETWORKING: THE PROBLEM IS SOLVED!"

PROF. ----- 5/2012

7/TBD

INDEED, MOST OF NETWORKING DOES NOT REQUIRE ANY THEORY

- PACKET SWITCHING
- LAYERS, INTERNETWORKING
- Shortest path
- CSMA
- MPLS, VLAN
- SNMP, RMON, SDN, NFV

INNOVATIVE, DISRUPTIVE, BUT SIMPLE IDEAS

8/TBD

SO THEN, WHAT IS THE USEFULNESS OF NETWORKING THEORY?

9/TBD

HISTORICAL CONTEXT: BERKELEY IN 1975 1960 1875





HISTORICAL CONTEXT: 1975







HISTORICAL CONTEXT: 1975

I AM NOT

A GROOK. 12/TBD

P

HISTORICAL CONTEXT: 1975

Intel 4004

0



HISTORICAL CONTEXT: BERKELEY 1975 – MORE DIRECTLY RELEVANT:



i nervinale summe er inter irranen ing Bauer weise lannen Bauer weise lannen Bauer statuter irrane, mare, marei unter

4.177.7.1

shifts to bilative B. Injusting

> in Ka Robah (Kinia Ir Ka

ALC: NOT THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER

SIAM Journal on Control, 13(5), 1022-1061. (40 pages)

Martingales on Jump Processes. II: Applications

R. Boel, P. Varaiya, and E. Wong https://doi.org/10.1137/0313064

SIAM J. CONTROL Vol. 13, No. 5, August 1975

MARTINGALES ON JUMP PROCESSES. II: APPLICATIONS*

R. BOEL, P. VARAIYA AND E. WONG†

1. Introduction and summary. This paper is concerned with applying the theory of martingales of jump processes to various problems arising in communication and control. It parallels the approaches which have been recently discovered in dealing with similar problems where the underlying stochastic process is Brownian motion. Indeed these approaches have recently been extended, starting with the work of Snyder [14], [16], [30] and Brémaud [6], [28],

1975

Poisson process and its transformations. The paper can then be ping generalization to this recent work.

LOTFI A. ZADEH &

19

A scientific approach to the analysis and design of systems

14/TBD

WHY THEORY IN NETWORKING?

- MAJOR NEW CHALLENGES
- THEORY IS NECESSARY

15/TBD

Caricature









#162926533

Caricature

Scheme



Design

Caricature









18/TBD

Caricature



Scheme

Design

a alamy stock photo

Caricature



Design







Caricature

Scheme

Design







Caricature









Design

Caricature

Insight Implementation
Theory? Theory?

Scheme

Design

All possibilities exist:

- No, No
- No, Yes
- Yes, Yes
- Yes, No

Caricature Scheme Design

No Theory





a alamy stock photo



Baran, Davies, Kleinrock, ...

Caricature

Scheme No Theory





Design

Stone, Wheeler, et al.

Caricature Scheme Design Easy Theory







Caricature







^{27/TBD} Van Jacobson

Caricature





Proportional Fairness α-Fair TCP Fast TCP Backpressure Regulated TCP

F. Kelly et al., S. Low, J. Mo, Modiano, Neely, Longbo Huang, Srikant, Shakkotai, Ying, Alizadeh, Prabhakar, McKeown, ...

Theory

Caricature

Scheme

A: Route flow randomly B: Route flow to least busy path

Related: Ephremides, Varaiya, Hajek, Rosberg, Gallager, Bertsekas, Gafni, 29/TBD Teneketsis et al., ...



Design

Caricature Scheme Design

Theory



Alternate Routing



Trunk Reservation

F. Kelly, Hunt, Gibbens, ...

Caricature Scheme Design Easy Theory





N. Abramson

Caricature





Q-CSMA

32/TBD

L. Jiang, Srikant, Leconte, D. Shah, R. Gupta, S. Borst, P. Thiran, Dousse, Baccelli

Caricature



Design

Theory



P. Gupta, P.R. Kumar; Related: Tse, Grossglauser

Caricature







Braess' Paradox Price of Anarchy

> Tardos, Roughgarden, Tsitsiklis, Johari, Prabhakar...

Design

Caricature

Scheme No Theory





Cheap, but crowded

First class, comfort because of price

A. Odlyzko

Design

Caricature





Positive Externality often results in free-riding

Design





Theory

Sub-optimum investments in network security Anantharam, Libin Jiang, Schwartz, Shetty

Security as a game: Basar, Baras, Musacchio, Anantharam & Gueye

Caricature

Scheme Design Theory No Theory MWM iSLIP



Ephremides, Tassiulas

McKeown

INNOVATION PROCESS: Caricature Scheme Design Theory 3500 3000 2500 $\mu_{1,1}$ λ_1 $X_1(s)$ X_1 2000 1500 1000 X_2 500 $\mu_{2,2}$ $X_2(s)$ 2000 4000 6000 8000 10000 s

Rates unknown Certainty equivalence: unstable Pedarsani, Zhong; Gittins, Lai & Robbins, Rahul Jain et al., Buyukkoc, Anantharam & Varaiya, Whittle, Borkar, ...

Caricature

Scheme Scheme Theory



Design

Virtual Deficit DMWM

Libin Jiang, D. Towlsey,...

Caricature

Scheme Design Theory Theory

Ramp Metering

Pravin Variya, Ramtin Pedarsani, ...

41 / TBD

Control

Caricature

Scheme Design Theory Theory



Gradient of backlog energy Ramtin Pedarsani, Yuan Zhong, Adaptive server allocation

Caricature Scheme Design Theory Theory

How congestion builds up

Effective bandwidth, quick simulation, quick estimation, pricing, ...

J. Hui, S. Parekh, Courcoubetis, R. Weber, A. Ridder, C.S. Chang, Kesidis, Olivier, G. de Veciana, I. Hsu, Konstantopoulos, Mitra et al.

Caricature





Large deviations in complex processes

Theory

Anantharam, Borkar

Caricature





Theory

Comparing stable policies

Stability of LQF

Nick Bambos

Antonis Dimakis

Instability of maximal matching

V. Anantharam, McKeown et al.

Caricature





Theory

Time-of-Day Pricing

J. Tsitsiklis

Neutrality

Musacchio, Schwartz, Shetty

Cyber-Insurance

Schwartz, Shetty

Caricature





Game theory of security

Basar et al., Baras et al., Musacchio

Design

Network Vulnerability

Anantharam, Gueye

CHALLENGES: COMPLEX NETWORKS

Monitoring

Network

Control

Ś

What information?What to control?Learning?

. . . .

CHALLENGES: COMPLEX NETWORKS Vision: Smart spectrum sharing, minimal standards



WHAT TO SENSE: POWER
 SPECTRUM? BEACONS? PATTERNS?
 MACS? COLLABORATION
 SIGNALS?

 WHAT TO ADJUST: PHY?, MAC?, NET?, TRANS?

CHALLENGES: PROCESSING NETWORKS

- Data Centers
- Manufacturing (Tesla 3)
- Hospitals
- Service Industry

CONCLUSIONS

THEORY PROVIDES INSIGHT FOR NEW SCHEMES

- Queue per class, per flow, per destination?
- Scheduling in each node or flow control?
- Look at own queue, at neighbor's queues, at all queues, at number of flows, at committed rate, at classes of flows?
- Trunk reservation or optimization?
- Time-of-day, token-based, or dynamic pricing?
- Collaboration signals? Incentive compatibility?

CONCLUSIONS

THEORY PROVIDES DESIGN TOOLS FOR IMPLEMENTATION

- Multi-class TCP
- Dynamic routing
- Server allocation and flow control in processing networks
- Backpressure
- Q-CSMA

CONCLUSIONS

- Networking is not done!
- Much improved understanding in last few years
- Complex challenges of scale, performance, new types of networks
- The clean theoretical insights should not be obscured by the details of the technology
- Keep up the good work! We need your insight and innovations!

FINAL REMARKS

It has been quite a trip! I thought I would stay nine months Thanks to

Cornell, DOE, NSF, DARPA, ARO, ONR, EPFL, INRIA, and UCB for their generous support the industry friends at Odyssia, TeraBlaze, Dhaani, Orgo the amazing colleagues and students for the fun times and for putting my name on their papers my family and friends for their encouragement

FINAL REMARKS

the organizers and speakers of this workshop, all of you for participating, the Simons Institute for hosting it

Annie for tolerating my wasting addiction to research

Pravin for preventing me from becoming a depressed,

drunk, washing machine repairman

THANK YOU ALL!

