Nimble Algorithms for Cloud Computing

Ravi Kannan, Santosh Vempala and David Woodruff

Cloud computing

Data is distributed arbitrarily on many servers

Parallel algorithms: time Streaming algorithms: sublinear space

Cloud Complexity: time, space and communication [Cormode-Muthukrishnan-Ye 2008]

Nimble algorithm: polynomial time/space (as usual) and sublinear (ideally polylog) communication between servers.

Cloud vs Streaming

Streaming algorithms make small "sketches" of data

- Nimble algorithms must communicate small "sketches"
- Are they equivalent?

Simple observation:

Communication in cloud = O(memory in streaming) [Daume-Philips-Saha-Venkatasubramanian12]

Is cloud computing more powerful?

Basic Problems on large data sets

- Frequency moments
- Counting copies of subgraphs (homomorphisms)
- Low-rank approximation
- Clustering
- •••
- Matchings
- Flows
- Linear programs

Streaming Lower Bounds

Frequency moments: Given a vector of frequencies $f=(f\downarrow 1, f\downarrow 2, ..., f\downarrow n)$ presented as a set of increments, estimate $||f||\downarrow k = \sum i \hat{1} = f\downarrow i \hat{1} k$ to relative error ϵ .

[Alon-Matias-Szegedy99, Indyk-Woodruff05]:

 $\theta(n^{\uparrow}1-2/k)$ space (k = 1,2 by random projection)

Counting homomorphisms: Estimate #triangles, $\#C\downarrow4\uparrow$, # $K\downarrow r,r$... in a large graph G.

 $\Omega(n^2)$ space lower bounds in streaming.

Streaming Lower Bounds

Low-rank approximation: Given n x d matrix A, find A of rank k s.t.

$$||A-A||\downarrow F \leq (1+\epsilon)||A-A\downarrow k||\downarrow F$$

[Clarkson-Woodruff09]

Any streaming algorithm needs $\Omega((n+d)k\log nd)$ space.

Frequency moments in the cloud

Lower bound via multi-player set disjointness.

- t players have sets $S \downarrow 1$, $S \downarrow 2$, ..., $S \downarrow t$, subsets of [n]
- Problem: determine if sets are disjoint or have one element in common.
- Final Thm: Communication needed = $\Omega(n/t\log t)$ bits.

Frequency moments in the cloud

Thm. Communication needed to determine set disjointness of t sets is $\Omega(n/t\log t)$ bits.

Consider s sets being either (i) completely disjoint or (ii) with one common element (each set is on one server)

Then k'th frequency moment is either *n* or $n-1+s\hat{k}$

Suppose we have a factor 2 approximation for the k'th moment. With $s \uparrow k = n+1$, then we can distinguish these cases. Therefore, communication needed is $\Omega(s \uparrow k-1)$. Frequency moments in the cloud

Thm. [Kannan-V.-Woodruff13]

Estimating k'th frequency moment on s servers takes $O(sfk | \epsilon f2)$ words of communication, with $O(b+\log n)$ bits per word.

- Lower bound is $s \uparrow k 1$
- Previous bound: sîk-1 (logn / e)îO(k) [Woodruff-Zhang12]
- streaming space complexity is $n \uparrow 1 2/k$

Main idea of algorithm: sample elements within a server according to higher moments.

Warm-up: 2 servers, third moment



Goal: estimate $\sum i \uparrow (u \downarrow i + v \downarrow i) \uparrow 3$

- Estimate *∑iî≣u↓i1*3
- Sample j w.p. $p \downarrow j = u \downarrow j \uparrow 3 / \sum i \uparrow w \downarrow i \uparrow 3$; 2. announce
- Second server computes X=ulj12 vlj /plj
 Average over many samples. 3.

$E(X) = \sum i \uparrow u \downarrow i \uparrow 2 v \downarrow i$

Warm-up: 2 servers, third moment

Goal: estimate $\sum i \uparrow (u \downarrow i + v \downarrow i) \uparrow 3$

 $p \downarrow j = u \downarrow j \uparrow 3 / \sum i \uparrow w \downarrow i \uparrow 3 \qquad X = u \downarrow j \uparrow 2 v \downarrow j / p \downarrow j \qquad E(X) = \sum i \uparrow w \downarrow i \uparrow 2 v \downarrow i$ $Var(X) \leq \sum i : v \downarrow i > 0 \uparrow w (u \downarrow i \uparrow 2 v \downarrow i) \uparrow 2 / p \downarrow i \qquad \uparrow$

 $\leq \sum i \uparrow u \downarrow i \uparrow 3 \sum i \uparrow u \downarrow i \lor i \uparrow 2$

 $\leq (\sum i \hbar u \downarrow i \hbar 3 + v \downarrow i \hbar 3) \hbar 2$

So, $O(1/\epsilon^{12})$ samples suffice.

Many servers, k'th moment





- Sample i w. prob p↓i = f↓ijîk /∑tî f↓tjîk according to k'th moment.
- Every j' sends $f \downarrow i j \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ if j' < j and $f \downarrow i j \uparrow \uparrow < f \downarrow i j$ or j'>j and $f \downarrow i j \uparrow \uparrow \leq f \downarrow i j$
- Server j computes $X \downarrow i = \prod j = 1 \uparrow s = f \downarrow i j \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow r \downarrow j / p \downarrow i$

Many servers, k'th moment

Each server j:

- Sample i w. prob p↓i = f↓ijîk /∑tî f↓tjîk according to k'th moment.
- Every j' sends $f \downarrow i j \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ if j' < j and $f \downarrow i j \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow < f \downarrow i j$ or j'>j and $f \downarrow i j \uparrow \uparrow \leq f \downarrow i j$
- Server j computes $X \downarrow i = \prod j = 1 \uparrow s = f \downarrow i j \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow r \downarrow j / p \downarrow i$

Lemma. $E(X) = \sum R \downarrow j \uparrow \prod f \downarrow i j \uparrow r \downarrow j$ and $Var(X) \le (\sum i \uparrow \prod f \downarrow i j \uparrow k) \uparrow 2$

Theorem follows as there are $< s \uparrow k$ terms in total.

Counting homomorphisms

How many copies of graph H in large graph G?

- E.g., H = triangle, 4-cycle, complete bipartite etc.
- Linear lower bounds for counting 4-cycles, triangles.
- We assume an (arbitrary) partition of the vertices among servers.

Counting homomorphisms

To count number of paths of length 2, in a graph with degrees $d\downarrow 1$, $d\downarrow 2$, ..., $d\downarrow n$, we need:

 $t(K\downarrow 1,2,G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d\downarrow i/2)$

This is a polynomial in frequency moments!

- + #stars is $t(K\downarrow 1, r, G) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d\downarrow i | r)$
- ► #C4's: let $d\downarrow ij$ is the number of common neighbors of i and j. Then, $t(C\downarrow 4, G) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d\downarrow ij}{2}$
- ▶ $#K\downarrow a, b$: let $d\downarrow S$ be the number of common neighbors of a set of vertices S.Then,

 $t(K \downarrow a, b, G) = \sum S \subseteq V, |S| = a \uparrow (d \downarrow S \mid b)$

Low-rank approximation

Given n x d matrix A partitioned arbitrarily as $A=A\downarrow 1 + A\downarrow 2 + ... + A\downarrow s$ among s servers, find A of rank k s.t. $||A-A||\downarrow F \leq (1+\epsilon)OPT$.

To avoid linear communication, on each server t, we leave a matrix $A \downarrow t$, s.t. $A = A \downarrow 1 + A \downarrow 2 + ... + A \downarrow s$ and is of rank k.

How to compute these matrices?

Low-rank approximation in the cloud

Thm. [KVW13]. Low-rank approximation of n x d matrix A partitioned arbitrarily among s servers takes O1*(skd) communication.



Warm-up: row partition

- Full matrix A is $n \times d$ with n >> d.
- Each server j has a subset of rows $A\downarrow j$
- Computes $A \downarrow j \uparrow T A \downarrow j$ and sends to server 1.
- Server I computes $B = \sum_{j=1}^{j} 1 f_s = A \downarrow_j f_T A \downarrow_j$ and announces V, the top k eigenvectors of B.
- Now each server j can compute $A \downarrow j V V \uparrow T$.
- Total communication = $O(sd^2)$.

Low-rank approximation: arbitrary partition

- To extend this to arbitrary partitions, we use limitedindependence random projection.
- Subspace embedding: matrix P of size $O(d/\epsilon 12) \times n$ s.t. for any $x \in R \uparrow d$, $||PAx|| = (1 \pm \epsilon) ||Ax||$.
- Agree on projection P via a random seed
- Each server computes $PA\downarrow t$, sends to server 1.
- Server I computes $PA = \sum t \uparrow A \downarrow t$ and its top k right singular vectors V.
- Project rows of A to V.

• Total communication = $O(sd^2 / \epsilon^2)$.

Low-rank approximation: arbitrary partition

- Agree on projection P via a random seed
- Each server computes $PA\downarrow t$, sends to server 1.
- Server I computes $PA = \sum t \uparrow \square PA \downarrow t$ and its top k right singular vectors V.
- Project rows of A to V.

Thm. $||A - AVV \uparrow T|| \le (1 + O(\epsilon))OPT$. Pf. Extend V to a basis $v \downarrow 1$, $v \downarrow 2$, ..., $v \downarrow d$. Then, $||A - AVV \uparrow T|| \downarrow F \uparrow 2 = \sum_{i=k+1} \int d = ||Av \downarrow i|| \uparrow 2 \le (1 + \epsilon) \uparrow 2 \sum_{i=k+1} \int d = ||PAv \downarrow i|| \uparrow 2$.

And, with $u \downarrow 1$, $u \downarrow 2$, ..., $u \downarrow d$ singular vectors of A, $\sum_{i=k+1} d = \|PAv \downarrow i\| 1^2 \leq \sum_{i=k+1} d = \|PAu \downarrow i\| 1^2 \leq (1+\epsilon) 1^2 \sum_{i=k+1} d = \|Au \downarrow i\| 1^2$

 $=(1+O(\epsilon))OPT^{2}$.

Low-rank approximation in the cloud

To improve to O(skd), we use a subspace embedding up front, and observe that O(k)-wise independence suffices for the random projection matrix.

- Agree on O(k/ε)×n matrix S and O(k/ε12)×n matrix
 P.
- Each server computes $SA\downarrow t$ and sends to server 1.
- SI computes $SA = \sum t \uparrow SA \downarrow t$ and an orthonormal basis $U \uparrow T$ for its row space.
- Apply previous algorithm to AU.

K-means clustering

Find a set of k centers $c \downarrow 1$, $c \downarrow 2$, ..., $c \downarrow k$ that minimize $\sum i \in S \uparrow Min \downarrow j = 1 \uparrow k ||A \downarrow i - c \downarrow j || \uparrow 2$

- A near-optimal (i.e. $1 + \epsilon$) solution could be very different!
- So, cannot project up front to reduce dimension and approximately preserve distances.

K-means clustering

- Kannan-Kumar condition:
- Every pair of cluster centers are f(k) standard deviations apart.
- "variance": maximum over I-d projections, of the average squared distance of a point to its center.
 - (e.g. for Gaussian mixtures, max directional variance)
- Thm. [Kannan-Kumar10]. Under this condition, projection to the top k principal components followed by the k-means iteration starting at an approximately optimal set of centers finds a nearly correct clustering.
- Finds centers close to the optimal ones, so that the induced clustering is same for most point.

K-means clustering in the cloud

- Points (rows) are partitioned among servers
- Low-rank approximation to project to SVD space.
- How to find a good starting set of centers?
- Need a constant-factor approximation.
- Thm [Chen]. There exists a small subset ("core") s.t. the kmeans value of this set (weighted) is within a constant factor of the k-means value of the full set of points (for any set of centers!).
- Chen's algorithm can be made nimble.

Thm. K-means clustering in the cloud achieves the Kannan-Kumar guarantee with $O(dt^2 + kt^4)$ communication on s = O(I) servers.

Cloud computing:

What problems have nimble algorithms?

- Approximate flow/matching?
- Linear programs
- Which graph properties/parameters can be checked/estimated in the cloud?

(e.g., planarity? expansion? small diameter?)

- Other Optimization/Clustering/
- Learning problems

[Balcan-Blum-Fine-Mansour 12,

Daume-Philips-Saha-Venkatasubramaian [2]

- Random partition of data?
- Connection to property testing?